CS 430 Spring 2019

Mike Lam, Professor



$$\operatorname{TEq} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \text{ '==' } e_2 : \mathbf{bool}}$$

- Type system
 - Rules about how data values can be used
- Type checking
 - Act of ensuring that the type system is adhered to
 - Ensure that operands are of compatible types
 - Or of equivalent types if coercions aren't allowed
 - Violations are called type errors
 - Usually, type errors are considered to be bugs
 - Sometimes are reported only as warnings

- Issues to consider:
 - Are declarations explicit or implicit?
 - Which types are equivalent?
 - Are type conversions allowed?
 - Can multiple types be used in some places?
 - When does type checking occur?
 - In general, how pedantic is the process?

- Type declarations
 - Explicit: types required
 - E.g., int x = 5; float y = 4.2;
 - Implicit: types not required (or even not allowed)
 - E.g., x = 5; y = 4.2;
 - Types are bound at assignment
 - However, these types can often be inferred statically
 - Tradeoff: readability vs. writability and expressiveness

- Type equivalence: name vs. structure
 - Named types vs anonymous types
 - Aliased types (e.g., typedef in C)
 - Examples:

```
typedef struct { int x; } box;
typedef float celsius;
typedef float fahrenheit;
celsius a = 25.7f;
fahrenheit b;
b = a; // is this valid?
b = a; // is this valid?
b = a; // what about this?
```

- Type conversions
 - Widening vs. narrowing
 - Latter may cause information loss
 - Implicit vs. explicit
 - Implicit: coercion, e.g., float x = 5;
 - Explicit: casting, e.g., int x = (int)3.14;

Polymorphism

- Object-oriented inheritance
 - Example of subtypes
- Parameterized functions
 - Uses generic type variables
 - Example: generic list functions in Haskell
 - E.g., head : [a] \rightarrow a
- Abstract data types
 - Models of generic data structure behavior
 - Implementation is hidden from user
 - Can use parameterized types
 - E.g., a queue<float> or queue<int>
 - Examples: C++ templates and Java generics

- Static vs. dynamic type checking
 - Static: compile time (checked by compiler)
 - E.g., C, Haskell
 - Dynamic: run time (checked by runtime system)
 - E.g., Ruby, Python
 - "Duck typing" is a particular form of dynamic typing
 - If an object has a method, you can call it! ("if it quacks like a duck...")
 - Hybrid: some static, some dynamic
 - E.g., C++, Java
 - Tradeoff: overhead vs. flexibility



Т

- Static type rules are sometimes expressed using proof notation
 - Premises on top, conclusion at the bottom

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{TDec} & \overrightarrow{} \text{TDec}: \overrightarrow{} \text{int} & \text{TTrue} & \overrightarrow{} \text{TLue}: \overrightarrow{} \text{bool} & \text{TLoc} & \overrightarrow{} \text{ID}: \overrightarrow{\tau} \in \Gamma \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \text{ID}: \overrightarrow{\tau} & \\ \text{TAdd} & \overrightarrow{} \Gamma \vdash e_1: \overrightarrow{} \text{int} & \Gamma \vdash e_2: \overrightarrow{} \text{int} & \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e_1 `+` e_2: \overrightarrow{} \text{int} & \\ \text{TEq} & \overrightarrow{} \Gamma \vdash e_1 `=` e_2: \overrightarrow{\tau} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e_1 `==` e_2: \overrightarrow{} \text{bool} & \\ \hline \text{FuncCall} & & \\ \hline \text{ID}: (\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_n) \rightarrow \tau_r \in \Gamma & \Gamma \vdash e_1: \tau_1 & \Gamma \vdash e_2: \tau_2 & ... & \Gamma \vdash e_n: \tau_n \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \text{ID} `(` e_1, e_2, ..., e_n `)`: \tau_r & \\ \end{array}$$

- Strong vs. weak typing
 - Strong typing: all type errors are detected
 - Tradeoff: safety vs. expressiveness
 - Terms often used somewhat loosely
- Evidence of strong typing
 - Static type checking
 - Type inference (even for implicit typing!)
- Evidence of weak typing
 - Dynamic type checking
 - Automatic type conversions
 - Pointer or union types